

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 9, 2006 LB 173

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I thought...okay. Thank you, I like that (laugh) expedition.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You...I'm sorry. Did...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, that's good.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I interrupted you. Go ahead if you wish to explain why.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, it's done. That's good. (Laugh)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Chambers, I now have FA431. (Legislative Journal pages 606-607.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, to open on FA431.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this amendment is found on page 4, and what it dah, dah, dah, dah, dah, dah, dah. (Laughter) I wanted to get that out before I was told to sit down and be quiet.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You got me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: May I speak in a more leisurely fashion, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: You got me. You may.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. No, you got me, and I'm acknowledging it. Thank you. This again relates to the placement of the word "only." Page 4, line 9, the language reads, "the subsection only applies," and I believe we should say, "the subsection applies only" to these other items. So it's merely the placement of only, but as I see this construction in existing law or wherever, then I have offered the amendment to shift the position of "only" to where I think